

## BATCH SCHEDULING

### A. Solution

This problem can be solved using dynamic programming. Let  $C_i$  be the minimum total cost of all partitionings of jobs  $J_i, J_{i+1}, \dots, J_n$  into batches. Let  $C_i(k)$  be the minimum total cost when the first batch is selected as  $\{J_i, J_{i+1}, \dots, J_{k-1}\}$ . That is,  $C_i(k) = C_k + (S + T_i + T_{i+1} + \dots + T_{k-1}) * (F_i + F_{i+1} + \dots + F_n)$ .

Then we have that

$$C_i = \min \{ C_i(k) \mid k = i+1, \dots, n+1 \} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \text{and } C_{n+1} = 0.$$

#### (a) $O(n^2)$ Time Algorithm

The time complexity of the above algorithm is  $O(n^2)$ .

#### (b) $O(n)$ Time Algorithm

Investigating the property of  $C_i(k)$ , P. Bucker[1] showed that this problem can be solved in  $O(n)$  time.

From  $C_i(k) = C_k + (S + T_i + T_{i+1} + \dots + T_{k-1}) * (F_i + F_{i+1} + \dots + F_n)$ , we have that for  $i < k < l$ ,

$$C_i(k) \leq C_i(l) \Leftrightarrow C_l - C_k + (T_k + T_{k+1} + \dots + T_{l-1}) * (F_i + F_{i+1} + \dots + F_n) \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow (C_k - C_l) / (T_k + T_{k+1} + \dots + T_{l-1}) \leq (F_i + F_{i+1} + \dots + F_n)$$

Let  $g(k, l) = (C_k - C_l) / (T_k + T_{k+1} + \dots + T_{l-1})$  and  $f(i) = (F_i + F_{i+1} + \dots + F_n)$

**Property 1:** Assume that  $g(k, l) \leq f(i)$  for  $1 \leq i < k < l$ . Then  $C_i(k) \leq C_i(l)$

**Property 2:** Assume  $g(j, k) \leq g(k, l)$  for some  $1 \leq j < k < l \leq n$ . Then for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < j$ ,  $C_i(j) \leq C_i(k)$  or  $C_i(l) \leq C_i(k)$ .

Property 2 implies that if  $g(j, k) \leq g(k, l)$  for  $j < k < l$ ,  $C_k$  is not needed for computing  $F_i$ . Using this property, a linear time algorithm can be designed, which is given in the following.

#### Algorithm Batch

The algorithm calculates the values  $C_i$  for  $i = n$  down to 1. It uses a queue-like list  $Q = (i_r, i_{r-1}, \dots, i_2, i_1)$  with tail  $i_r$  and head  $i_1$  satisfying the following properties:

$$i_r < i_{r-1} < \dots < i_2 < i_1 \text{ and} \\ g(i_r, i_{r-1}) > g(i_{r-1}, i_{r-2}) > \dots > g(i_2, i_1) \text{ ----- (1)}$$

When  $C_i$  is calculated,

1. // Using  $f(i)$ , remove unnecessary element at head of  $Q$ .

If  $f(i) \geq g(i_2, i_1)$ , delete  $i_1$  from  $Q$  since for all  $h \leq i$ ,  $f(h) \geq f(i) \geq g(i_2, i_1)$  and  $C_h(i_2) \leq C_h(i_1)$  by Property 1.

Continue this procedure until for some  $t \geq 1$ ,  $g(i_r, i_{r-1}) > g(i_{r-1}, i_{r-2}) > \dots > g(i_{t+1}, i_t) > f(i)$ .

Then by Property 1,  $C_i(i_{v+1}) > C_i(i_v)$  for  $v = t, \dots, r-1$  or  
 $r = t$  and  $Q$  contains only  $i_t$ .

Therefore,  $C_i(i_t)$  is equal to  $\min\{C_i(k) \mid k = i+1, \dots, n+1\}$ .

2. // When inserting  $i$  at the tail of  $Q$ , maintain  $Q$  for the condition (1) to be satisfied.

If  $g(i, i_r) \leq g(i_r, i_{r-1})$ , delete  $i_r$  from  $Q$  by Property 2.

Continue this procedure until  $g(i, i_v) > g(i_v, i_{v-1})$ .

Append  $i$  as a new tail of  $Q$ .

### **Analysis**

Each  $i$  is inserted into  $Q$  and deleted from  $Q$  at most once. In each insertion and deletion, it takes a constant time. Therefore the time complexity is  $O(n)$ .

## **B. Test Data Information and Grading**

In total, 20 test cases are prepared and tested. Each test case is of 5 credits. Among them, 19 test cases are randomly generated so that overflow does not occur during computing  $Fi$ . The remaining 1 test case is that setup time and all processing times and cost factors are 1.

The test cases are mainly prepared to distinguish whether the competitors design an efficient algorithm or not. Among 20 test cases, algorithm by enumeration may solve for three ones within the given time limit, and an  $O(n^2)$  time algorithm may solve for 14 test cases within the given time limit. If the competitors submit a correct  $O(n)$  time algorithm, they will get 100 credits.